

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/936,432	Applicant(s) TRESCH ET AL.
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626

All Participants:(1) Fiona T. Powers.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) Harris Pitlick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Pitlick to ask if claim 9 could be rewritten as follows: A method of dyeing or printing natural or synthetic substrates by applying thereto one or more azoxy dyes and/or their copper complexes of claim 1. It was agreed that claim 9 would be amended by examiner's amendment so that it reads as above..